Group Equivariant Convolutional Networks

Andrei Gasparovici

andrei.gasparovici.ext@siemens.com

Agenda

- Introduction to equivariance & group theory
- Methods for roto-translation equivariance:
 - *p*4-equivariant CNNs
 - Interpolation-based SE(2)-CNNs
 - Steerable *SE*(2)-CNNs
- Equivariance experiments on medical images
- Empirical results on medical images
- Implementation details
- Conclusions

Motivation

- The convolution operation is **equivariant** under **translation**, causing CNNs to share the same property
- Translation equivariance eliminates the need for translation augmentation and allows parameter sharing
- Generalizing CNNs for equivariance under larger groups of symmetries (such as rotations and reflections) removes the need for data augmentation and further enhances robustness and weight sharing



Example: Roto-translation equivariance

Symmetries and group theory

- Symmetries = reversible and composable transformations
- The theoretical framework for working with symmetries is group theory



Group action and equivariance

• A group *G* can **act** on images: $x \rightarrow gx$

and on transformations:

 $f \to gf$ (gf)(x) = $f(g^{-1}x)$

• A transformation *f* is *G*-equivariant if it commutes with the group action:

f(gx) = gf(x)



Group convolution

- The **convolutional structure** is not a preference, but a **requirement** for group equivariance
- To construct group equivariant networks, the regular (spatial) convolution can be generalized to a group convolution:

Regular 2D convolution:
(translation equivariant)
(
$$f * k$$
) $(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t) \cdot k(x-t) dt$
($f * k$) $(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) \cdot (gk)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) \cdot k(g^{-1}x) dx$
($f * k$) $(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) \cdot (gk)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) \cdot k(g^{-1}x) dx$
($f * k$) $(g) = \int_{G} f(h) \cdot (gk)(h) dh = \int_{G} f(h) \cdot k(g^{-1}h) dh$

Cohen et al. A General Theory of Equivariant CNNs on Homogeneous Spaces 2019

 $n^{\pi}/_{2}$ rotations: G-CNNs



 $(k * f)(g) = \sum_{h \in G} k(g^{-1}h)f(h)$

Cohen & Welling Group Equivariant Convolutional Networks 2016

Datasets

CVC-ClinicDB

- Open-access dataset of polyp images and segmentation masks from colonoscopy sequences
- Size: 612 images
- Split: 70% training, 15% validation, 15% test



Kvasir-SEG

- Open-access dataset of gastrointestinal polyp images and corresponding segmentation masks
- Size: 1000 images
- Split: 70% training, 15% validation, 15% test





Architectures





Number of parameters: 1,965,625



Number of parameters: 1,964,029

Evaluating model equivariance

• To measure rotation equivariance, we compute a similarity metric between the **rotated mask** of the image and **the mask of the rotated image**:



Results: CVC-ClinicDB

- The equivariant network obtains better performance
- Perfect equivariance at 0° and 90° (expected)
- Good equivariance in between although kernels are rotated only by multiples of 90° (not expected)
- Final rotation max pool layer likely improves equivariance in the vicinity of 90°
- Plots show equivariance for 0°-90° although the results are preserved for 90°-360° (periodicity)



Results: Kvasir-SEG



• Kvasir-SEG is a more **complex** data set

- The equivariant network obtains better performance
- Equivariance results preserve across data sets

Arbitrary rotations: Angle discretization + G-CNN

• To rotate the (sampled) kernel by **arbitrary angles**, we construct a linear operator that performs rotation and **bilinear interpolation**:



Architecture



SE(2, 12)-equivariant U-Net

SE(2, 12)-Lift (Conv + BatchNorm + ReLU)
 (SE(2, 12)-Conv + BatchNorm + ReLU) × 2
 Spatial MaxPool + (SE(2, 12)-Conv + BatchNorm + ReLU) × 2
 Copy
 Spatial Upsample + (SE(2, 12)-Conv + BatchNorm + ReLU) × 2
 Rotation MaxPool
 Conv
 Number of parameters: 16,493,089

- Similar structure with the p4-equivariant U-Net
- Number of parameters **increases** with the number of **sampled angles**
- Errors due to interpolation are expected

Results: CVC-ClinicDB

- **Perfect** equivariance at **90°** is preserved (expected)
- Equivariance at 30° and 60° not exact (expected due to interpolation)
- *p*4-equivariant network obtains better performance overall
- Interpolation introduces significant errors, although it reduces outliers
- Although employing only 90° rotations, p4equivariant network achieves similar (even slightly better) equivariance

Ē 0.944 0.0 score Dice Dice 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.0 Dice score Dice score 1.0 0.9 0.8 9.0 Dice score 은 0.7 Ů 0.6 0.5 0.2 0.4 0.3 05 5 8 8 8

ふ ô

*p*4-equivariant U-Net

SE(2, 12)- equivariant U-Net

Results: Kvasir-SEG

- *p*4-equivariant network attains better performance
- SE(2,12)- equivariant, while being surpassed in performance, has fewer outliers
- Robustness induced by p4-equivariance is not consistent over data sets



SE(2, 12)- equivariant U-Net



Continuous rotations: Steerable equivariant CNNs

Approximation by Fourier series and radial basis functions

• Considering a learnable filter $\widehat{\Psi}$ to be complex-valued, we can approximate $\widehat{\Psi}$ by its Fourier series as:

$$\widehat{\psi}(r,\theta) \approx \widehat{\psi}_N(r,\theta) = \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n(r)e^{in\theta}$$

• For every n, we can further approximate $c_n(r)$ using Gaussian radial basis functions:

$$c_n(r) \approx c_n^{J_n}(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{J_n} w_{nj} e^{-\frac{(r-j)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

• Finally, we obtain the following approximation of $\widehat{\Psi}$:

$$\widehat{\Psi}(r,\theta) \approx \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{J_n} w_{nj} e^{in\theta} e^{-\frac{(r-j)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Continuous rotations: Steerable equivariant CNNs

Steerable filters

$$\widehat{\psi}(r,\theta) \approx \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{J_n} w_{nj} e^{in\theta} e^{-\frac{(r-j)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

• The filters $\psi_{nj} = e^{in\theta} e^{-\frac{(r-j)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ form a basis for the space of learnable filters:

$$\widehat{\Psi} = \sum_{n,j} w_{nj} \Psi_{nj}$$
Learnable parameters



Example: Basis filters with $1 \le k \le 6$ and j = 1sampled on a 30×30 grid (real part)

• Such a filter $\widehat{\psi}$ is called **steerable**.

Continuous rotations: Steerable equivariant CNNs

Rotation of steerable filters

• **Rotations** of a steerable filter can be computed **analytically** by **rotating the basis filters**, thus removing the need for interpolation (rotation + sampling instead of sampling + rotation):



Architecture



• Number of **parameters decreases significantly** and depends on the **number of basis filters chosen**

Results: CVC-ClinicDB

- The steerable equivariant network performs better and has fewer outliers
- Equivariance results are also better (with fewer parameters)

SE(2, 12)- equivariant U-Net

Steerable SE(2)- equivariant U-Net



Andrei Gasparovici

Results: Kvasir-SEG

0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 Dice score SCO • Dice 0.4 0.4 0.2 · 0.2 0.0 0.0 Dice score Dice score 1.0 1.0 0.8 0.8 ₀ 0.6 <u>ല</u> 0.6 scor Dice .0.4 0.2 0.2 0.0 00

\$ 5

Anale

6 3 8 8 8

0 5 6 6 6 6 6

85 50

Anale

Steerable SE(2)- equivariant U-Net

SE(2, 12)- equivariant U-Net

0 5 20 25

• The steerable equivariant network performs better, although the number of outliers is higher

• Equivariance results are preserved (with fewer parameters)

Andrei Gasparovici

8. 90

General framework: E(n)-steerable CNNs & LieConv

- The steerable CNN method can be generalized to **any distance-preserving symmetry** of the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space
- For equivariance of other types of continuous data (molecules, point clouds, time series, videos, geostatistics etc.), one approach is **LieConv**, which discretizes the group convolution via Monte Carlo sampling



Finzi et al. Generalizing Convolutional Neural Networks for Equivariance to Lie Groups on Arbitrary Continuous Data 2017 Weiler & Cesa General E(2)-Equivariant Steerable CNNs 2019 Cesa at al. A Program to Build E(n)-Equivariant Steerable CNNs 2021

Implementation details

- Implementation of experiments is available at: <u>code.siemens.com/andrei.gasparovici.ext/polyp-detection</u>
- Building blocks:
 - *p*4-conv (easy to implement in PyTorch using torch.rot90)
 - Interpolation-based SE(2)-conv: Implemented from scratch in PyTorch (<u>zoo/se2_conv.py</u>) by constructing a rotation + interpolation operator as a sparse tensor
 - Steerable SE(2)-conv: Implemented using <u>github.com/QUVA-Lab/e2cnn</u> easy to use following the examples

Conclusions

- The study of symmetry (group theory) is a very important tool in math and physics that was successfully brought to deep learning
- Applications of equivariant CNNs have not been fully explored for **medical imaging** (few papers available)
- Experimental results shows that equivariant CNNs have multiple benefits over classical CNNs, e.g., **removing** the need for **data augmentation**, improving **performance**, and enhancing **weight sharing** and **robustness**
- Future work:
 - Apply group-equivariant CNNs to other medical imaging problems
 - Explore the connection between group equivariance and other deep learning techniques (attention, generative models)
 - Investigate applications of group equivariance beyond vision (NLP, reinforcement learning)

References

- Group equivariant CNNs
 - Cohen, Taco S. / Welling, Max Group Equivariant Convolutional Networks 2016
 - Cohen, Taco S. / Welling, Max Steerable CNNs 2016
 - Weiler, Maurice / Hamprecht, Fred A. / Storath, Martin Learning Steerable Filters for Rotation Equivariant CNNs 2017
 - Cohen, Taco S. / Geiger, Mario / Weiler, Maurice A General Theory of Equivariant CNNs on Homogeneous Spaces 2019
 - Weiler, Maurice / Cesa, Gabriele General E(2)-Equivariant Steerable CNNs 2019
- Group equivariant CNNs in medical imaging
 - Bekkers, Erik J. / Lafarge, Maxime W. / Veta, Mitko / Eppenhof, Koen A. J. / Pluim, Josien P. W. / Duits, Remco Roto-Translation Covariant Convolutional Networks for Medical Image Analysis 2018
 - Winkels, Marysia / Cohen, Taco S. **3D G-CNNs for Pulmonary Nodule Detection** 2018
 - Worrall, Daniel Ernest Equivariance For Deep Learning And Retinal Imaging 2019
- Group theory
 - Alperin, J. L. / Bell, R. B. Groups and Representations 2012
 - Fulton, William / Harris, Joe Representation Theory: A First Course 2013